

UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

09/755,383

01/05/01

SCHENA

В

IMM029B

WM02/1002

PAUL L. HICKMAN HICKMAN COLEMAN & HUGHES LLP P. O. BOX 52037 PALO ALTO CA 94303-0746 EXAMINER

LEWIS, D

PAPER NUMBER

2673

DATE MAILED:

10/02/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

*Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/755,383

Applicant(s)

Schena et al.

Examiner

David L Lewis

Art Unit **2673**



The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
Period for Reply	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. 	36 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl	y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will
be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period	will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this
communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute	
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	
1) X Responsive to communication(s) filed on	01
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This action	on is non-final.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance exclosed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa	ccept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is arte Quayle35 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
4) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-46</u>	is/are pending in the applica
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from considera
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-46</u>	is/are rejected.
7)	is/are objected to.
8) ☐ Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirem
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/a	re objected to by the Examiner.
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a approved b) disapproved.
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	r.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
13) \square Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign prior	rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐None of:	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have to	peen received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have to	peen received in Application No
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority doct application from the International Bureau *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the company. 	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic pr	•
	- · · · ·
Attachment(s) 15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20) Other:

Page 2

Art Unit: 2573

Applicant: Schena et al.

Title: Force Feedback Interface Device With Touchpad Sensor

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer cannot overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

2. Claims 1-46 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-25 of U.S. Patent No. 6191774. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they recite claims language worded insignificantly different, wherein the claims are essentially identicle. In particular, independent claims 1, 22, 32, and 32 correspond to claims 1, 9, 13, and 18 of US Patent #6191774, and in general claims 1-47 correspond to claims 1-25 of US Patent No. 6191774.

Examiner: David L. Lewis September 28, 2001

Serial Number: 09/755,383 Page 3

Art Unit: 2673

Applicant: Schena et al.

Title: Force Feedback Interface Device With Touchpad Sensor

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. 5754433, 5760764, 5990869, 5754433,

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be

directed to David L. Lewis whose telephone number is (703) 306-3026. The examiner can normally

be reached on MT and THF from 8 to 5. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are

unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bipin Shalwala, can be reached on (703) 305-4938. Any

inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be

directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA,

Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Art Unit: 2673

Applicant: Schena et al.

Title: Force Feedback Interface Device With Touchpad Sensor

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

BIPIN SHALWALA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Examiner: David L. Lewis September 28, 2001